THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE REQUEST: NON-COMPETITIVE JUSTIFICATION FORMS

Requisition

Contract or

1.	NCJ Number:	INSTRUCTIONS: COMPLETE QUESTIONS 7, 9-11, 13,17,18	REQ#	Fund #	
2.	Campus/Institute Name:				
3.	Short Description:				
4.	Proposed Vendor:	Name:			
		Vendor Number:			
		Vendor ID:			
5.	Contract Start Date:				
6.	Contract End Date IF <u>all</u> Options to Extend the Contract are Exercised:				
7.	. Total Maximum Cost IF <u>all</u> Options to Extend the Contract are Exercised:				
8.	Approval Criteria: (select one)	☐ Use of Non-Comp	etitive Negotiation is	s in the best interest of the university.	
		☐ Only one uniquely	qualified service pr	rovider able to provide the service.	
10.					
11.	Explanation of Whether the Used:	Procuring Agency Boug	ght the Service in th	e Past, and if so, What Procurement Method It	
12.	Name & Address of the Pro institution)	posed Vendor/Contract	or(s): (<u>not</u> required	if proposed contractor is a state education	

13.	Evidence of the Proposed Vendor/Contractor's Experience and Length of Experience Providing the Service:
14.	Documentation of Office for Information Resources Endorsement: N/A (required only if the subject service involves information technology)
15.	Documentation of Department of Personnel Endorsement: N/A (required only if the subject service involves training for state employees)
16.	Documentation of State Architect Endorsement: N/A (required only if the subject service involves construction or real property related services)
17.	Description of Procuring Agency Efforts to Identify Reasonable, Competitive, Procurement Alternatives:
18.	Justification of Why the University Should Use Non-Competitive Negotiation Rather Than a Competitive Process: (Being the "only known" or "best" service provider to perform the service as desired will not be deemed adequate justification.)